First experiments of anesthesia control with optimized PID tuning
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Abstract: In this paper we present and discuss the first experimental results obtained with a recently devised PID control scheme for the propofol and remifentanil coadministration in general anesthesia. In particular, the depth of hypnosis is controlled by considering only the bispectral index scale as the process variable and the extra degree of freedom in the controller is handled by selecting an appropriate ratio between the infusion rates of the two drugs. The parameters of the PID controllers are selected by using a tuning rule obtained through an optimization procedure that exploits the PK/PD model of a set of patients. A gain scheduling approach is used to switch between two sets of tuning parameters, one for the induction phase and the other for the maintenance phase. The experimental results confirm the effectiveness of the overall design approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Closed-loop control of anesthesia during surgery has attracted considerable research effort in the last decades (Bailey and Haddad, 2005; Linkens, 1992). In fact, compared to manual control, it provides significant benefits such as the reduction of the anesthesiologist workload (even if he/she has to be present in any case for supervision and intervention in case of emergency), the avoidance of problems due to distraction or fatigue, an increase of the patient safety thanks to the continuous monitoring and, finally, the potential lower administration of drugs with a faster post-operative recovery and the reduction of side effects.

In the clinical practice of total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA), the infusion of different drugs is regulated to achieve a patient state suitable for the surgery. Specific administered drugs induce unconsciousness, with a desired level of depth of hypnosis (DoH) of the patient, suppress the physiological responses to nociceptive stimulation and, in specific cases, block the neuromuscular activity. The most common hypnotic drug is propofol, while the analgesic one is remifentanil. The coadministration of these two drugs has a synergic effect on the DoH.

Many control systems have been developed (mainly based on a simple proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controllers, but also on other paradigms) considering the bispectral index scale (BIS) signal as feedback and only propofol infusion as control variable, and experimental results have shown the effectiveness of this approach (Struys et al., 2001; Absalom and Kenny, 2003; Absalom et al., 2002; Puri et al., 2007; Reboso et al., 2019; Neckebroek et al., 2019). However, it is recognized that it is also relevant to handle nociceptive stimulations by properly controlling the infusion rate of remifentanil (van Heusden et al., 2014). In principle, a multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) control system should be used by considering, in addition to the BIS signal, a measure of the analgesic coverage (Ionescu et al., 2014; Padmanabhan et al., 2019). Relevant experimental results in this context have been presented in (Hemmerling et al., 2013), where an empirical algorithm is used. However, analgesia monitors are still not generally accepted in clinical practice, and for this reason control structures for a multiple-input-single-output (MISO) process for the coadministration of propofol and remifentanil have been developed. The aforementioned solutions only exploit the BIS measure as feedback signal, which implies that there is a degree of freedom in the controller design that has been handled by implementing empirical rules in (Liu et al., 2011, 2012) and by setting suitable weights in a model predictive control framework in (Ionescu et al., 2011). Alternatively, a mid-ranging controller has been devised in (Soltesz et al., 2012), where the
different metabolization times of the two drugs has been exploited. In (van Heusden et al., 2017), the additional degree of freedom is handled by the user, who determines the the opioid-hypnotic balance by selecting the desired effect-concentration of remifentanil. A different explicit approach has been proposed in (Merigo et al., 2019). In particular, the degree of freedom in the controller with one input and two outputs allows the anesthesiologist to select a desired ratio between the remifentanil and the propofol infusion rates, depending on the nature of surgery. A PID controller is then used to determine the value of the control variables, and its tuning has been performed by exploiting an evolutionary algorithm that considers a dataset of pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) models that are representative of a wide population of patients. The robustness with respect to inter- and intra-patient variability is verified through a Monte Carlo simulation. The peculiarity of our control systems is that both induction and maintenance are dealt in closed-loop through a gain scheduling approach. First, a set a tuning parameter is selected for the induction phase, then, we switch to a different tuning, specifically developed for the maintenance phase (Padula et al., 2017). In this paper, we present the first experimental results obtained by applying the method proposed in (Merigo et al., 2019) (note that there is only a small number of clinical trials where the DoH is entirely controlled in closed-loop (i.e., induction and maintenance) and both infusions of propofol and remifentanil are fully automated). In particular, the control system is applied to four patients undergoing plastic surgery. The patients have different demographics and, although the number of them is not large enough to draw definitive conclusions, the preliminary results suggest that the approach developed in (Merigo et al., 2019) is sound. In fact, the aim of the paper is twofold: (i) to demonstrate the practical effectiveness of the devised control structure; (ii) to demonstrate the viability of the tuning approach that is based on the classical PK/PD model available in the literature (Minto and Schneider, 2008). The paper is organized as follows. The control system is briefly reviewed in Section 2. The clinical protocol and the instrumentation are described in Section 3. The experimental results are presented and discussed in Section 4 and, finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. CONTROL SYSTEM

2.1 Problem formulation

The coadministration of propofol and remifentanil should be performed in such a way that the BIS level is driven from its initial value (close to 100) to the set-point one equal to 50 (which implies a level of hypnosis between moderate and deep) in a short time (less than 4 min) and avoiding an excessive undershoot. In particular, a value of the BIS lower than 40 should be avoided as it might yield a dangerous hypotension (Lindholm et al., 2009). Then, during the whole surgery procedure, the BIS value should be kept in the safe range between 40 and 60 despite the presence of disturbances (which are usually modelled as additive signals to the system output) due to noxious stimuli.

\[
C(s) = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} + \frac{T_d s}{N s + 1}\right)
\]

where \(K_p\) is the proportional gain, \(T_i\) is the integral time constant, \(T_d\) is the derivative time constant and \(N = 5\) determines a low-pass filtering of the derivative action, avoiding the amplification of the measurement noise. A discretized version of the PID controller has been implemented in the system (see Section 3). In addition to the use of a low-pass filter on the derivative action, the measurement noise has been further filtered by implementing a simple moving average filter that considers the last eight samples of the BIS signal. The filter uses the same weight for the last 8 samples of the BIS signal. This choice is the result of a trial and error process on signals acquired during surgery, where a number different options have been considered.

2.3 PID controller tuning

The PID controller has been tuned by considering a set of PK/PD models of 13 patients that are representative of the general population (Struys et al., 2004). The classical PK/PD model of propofol and remifentanil coadministration (Minto and Schneider, 2008) has been considered.
for the purpose of simulating the patients in the tuning procedure. The model comprises two linear systems in parallel whose outputs are coupled through a static nonlinear Hill function. In particular, the linear part of the propofol (resp. remifentanil) model relates the propofol (resp. remifentanil) infusion rate \( u_p(t) \) (resp. \( u_r(t) \)) with the effect-site concentration \( C_{ep}(t) \) (resp. \( C_{er}(t) \)). The parameters of the linear part of the model depend on age, weight, height and gender of the patient. Then, the nonlinear Hill function relates the effect-site concentrations to the BIS value as follows:

\[
BIS(t) = E_0 - E_{max} \left( \frac{U_{prop}(t) + U_{remif}(t)}{U_{50}(\phi)} \right)^\gamma
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the baseline value (close to 100) representing the initial drug-free output of the patient, \( E_0 - E_{max} \) is the maximum reachable effect, \( \gamma \) determines the maximum slope of the curve (which is a measure of the patient sensitivity), and \( C_{ep},p \) and \( C_{er},r \) are the concentrations of propofol and remifentanil necessary to reach half of the maximal effect. Then, \( \beta \) determines the synergetic effect of propofol and remifentanil in DoH through the functions \( U_{prop}(t) \), \( U_{remif}(t) \) and \( U_{50}(\phi) \), which are expressed as:

\[
U_{prop}(t) = \frac{C_{ep}(t)}{C_{ep},p} \quad U_{remif}(t) = \frac{C_{er}(t)}{C_{er},r}
\]

\[
\phi = \frac{U_{prop}(t) + U_{remif}(t)}{U_{50}(\phi)} \quad U_{50}(\phi) = 1 - \beta \phi + \beta \phi^2
\]

The parameters for the models of the 13 patients used to determine the PID parameters have been presented in (Merigo et al., 2019) but are also shown in Table 1 for the reader’s convenience.

For each remifentanil-propofol ratio, a particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm (Kennedy, 2011) has been employed to find the optimal PID parameters that minimize the worst-case integrated absolute error over the whole dataset of models. Formally, defining the integrated absolute error as

\[
IAE = \int_0^\infty |r(t) - BIS(t)| dt
\]

the following optimization problem has been solved:

\[
\min_{K_p,T_i,T_d} \max_{k \in \{1, \ldots, 13\}} IAE_k(K_p,T_i,T_d)
\]

In this context, the induction and the maintenance phases have been considered separately, and both phases are automatically handled by the PID controller. Indeed, an optimal set of PID parameters has been determined by considering the response of a point-step signal from the value 100 to the value 50 and another set of parameters has been determined by considering the response of an additive disturbance signal that consists of a step of amplitude 10 that acts on the systems output for 10 minutes. A gain scheduling approach is employed so that a set of PID parameters is used in the induction phase until the BIS value of the patient settles in a range from 40 to 60 and then another set is used to compensate for disturbances due to noxious stimuli until the end of the surgery procedure. Note that we adopt in this paper a population-based robust tuning, so that the same parameters guarantees stability and satisfaction of clinical constraints for all patients.

3. CLINICAL PROTOCOL

The control algorithm has been implemented on a standard PC and a suitable graphic user interface (GUI) has been developed in order for the anesthesiologists to easily use and supervise the system. The PC is connected to a Dräger Infinity Delta monitor (Drägerwerk, LiBeck, DE) and to two syringe pumps Graseby 3400 (Smiths Medical, London, UK) through three USB - RS232 converter cables. These devices have been chosen because they are already present and commonly used in the plastic operating room of Spedali Civili di Brescia (Brescia hospital), where the trial is conducted. The monitor sends a packet of data every 1 s with a set of information of the patient that comprises BIS, Signal Quality Index (SQI), heart rate (HR), burst suppression ratio (BSR), oxygen saturation (SpO2), and systolic, diastolic and medium blood pressures (BP s, BP d, BP m). As mentioned above, a simple moving average filter with 8 samples is applied to the BIS signal. Then, even if the PID controller is discretized with a sampling period of 1 s and its output is calculated with that sampling rate, a new value of the control variable is sent to the two syringe pumps (as a message from the RS-232 port) every 5 s. The reason for this choice is that a controller inside the pump elaborates the received command and properly actuates the syringe with drug in order to achieve the desired infusion rate and we observed experimentally that the pump is only able to satisfactory track infusion rates that changes every 5 s. The PID control law is determined in any case each 1 s in order to calculate the integral and derivative actions more precisely.

In the operating room the patient is connected to the devices after the anesthesiologist has administered 0.5 µg/kg of fentanyl and 1-2 mg of midazolam as premedication in order to improve the comfort of the patient. Then, the closed-loop control is started. When (typically, after 3-4 min) the patient loses consciousness the anesthesiologist inserts the laryngeal mask or the orotracheal tube 3-4 min) the patient loses consciousness the anesthesiologist inserts the laryngeal mask or the orotracheal tube and the patient is connected to the controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV). At discretion of the anesthesiologists, bolus of curare are administered in this phase to facilitate intubation, usually 0.8 mg/kg of rocuronium. When the patient achieves a stable level of BIS in the required range from 40 to 60, the anesthesiologist switches the system into maintenance mode and checks if the level of anaesthesia is clinically adequate during the surgical procedures by monitoring the infusions rates, the presence of patient movements, the haemodynamics of the patient, the BIS level, blood loss and somatic events, like grimacing and eye opening.

The automatic infusion is finally stopped at completion of the surgical procedures, that is, when the surgeon finishes the skin sutures. Patients remain in the operating room until they regain consciousness, the laryngeal mask is removed and they can correctly state their date of birth. The patients are then taken to a recovery room for a few minutes where they are monitored and then they are visited on the first and on the second postoperative day.

The clinical trial has been approved by the ethics committee of Brescia (number NP2861).
Table 1. Parameters of the models of the 13 patients used for the optimization-based tuning procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>C_{\text{rem},p}</th>
<th>C_{\text{rem},r}</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>94.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>86.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>80.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>102.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>85.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>147.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>76.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>63.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>131.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>77.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>90.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>96.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Demographics of the patients undergoing closed-loop anesthesia control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The results related to four patients are presented in this section. Their demographics data are shown in Table 2. They are two males and two females and they cover a large range of weights and ages. Furthermore, they were undergoing various surgical procedures. The results are shown for each patient from Figure 2 to Figure 5. For all patients the control system was capable to control the coadministration for the duration of the whole surgical procedure and the anesthesiologist never changed the infusion rates determined by the controller.

In the top plot of each figure, the BIS level is represented by a black solid line, the SIQ by a red solid line and the BIS reference by a black dashed line. The drugs infusion rates are plotted in the second plot: propofol infusion (in mg/kg/min) is represented by a black line and the remifentanil infusion (in µg/kg/min) by a red line. A black dashed line marks the time instant when the gain-scheduling strategy has been applied by the anesthesiologist thorough the GUI. Hemodynamic parameters of the patient are shown in the last four plots of each figure. Indeed, we show the HR (in bpm), the SpO2 (in %) and BP (in mmHg). In particular, the BP systolic is plotted by a red line, the BP diastolic is plotted by a black line and the BP mean is plotted by blue line (note that the acquisition is interrupted after the induction phase for patient 4). In addition, we also plot the BSR.

The devised control system provides a satisfactory performance for all four patients in both the induction and the maintenance phase providing stable hemodynamic parameters of the patient (also during long surgical procedures, see Figure 5). In general, the BIS level attains the set-point reference without excessive undershoot and with an acceptable settling time during the induction phase. Moreover, the BIS level is maintained in the range [40, 60] for most of the time during the maintenance phase. Indeed, the closed-loop control system provides satisfactorily disturbance rejection with limited undershoots and overshoots.

The infusion rates in the second plots of each figure are also satisfactory from a clinical point of view. They do not achieve excessively high or low values, which may involve the risk of overdosing or underdosing the patient. We stress that our approach does not rely on the use boluses, so the pumps always work in the standard infusion mode. Due to the feedback signal, the control signal is affected by residual noise, but the infusion rates during the pikes never attain the high values used in “bolus” mode. Finally, for all the patients, hemodynamic parameters are stable throughout the anesthesia. In fact, for all the patients, HR is stable at a level between 40 and 90, SpO2 is always greater than 95% and BP values are in an acceptable range during the whole surgical procedure. Further, the BSR is close to 0, with the exception of some time intervals for patient 2, which might be because of her old age, and for patient 3, which can be associated to the BIS undershooting during the induction phase. The duration of the emergence phase is also in accordance with the clinical practice, where awakening time is approximately 10 min.

The results confirm the effectiveness of the control architecture and of the tuning procedure. In particular, the analysis of the performance of the controller with these four patients suggests that the choice of selecting a suitable value for the ratio of the remifentanil-propofol infusion rates is effective in practice. Then, the tuning methodology for the PID controller proposed in (Merigo et al., 2019) has been also validated. In particular, it can be deduced that the set of 13 patients that has been used in the optimization procedure is appropriate to describe a wide population and that their PK/PD models are sufficiently accurate for the obtained PID parameters to be employed in the clinical practice.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented preliminary experimental results of the application of a recently proposed control architecture for the propofol-remifentanil coadministration in general anesthesia. Our approach satisfactorily handles both induction and maintenance in closed-loop, and automatically controls the infusion of both propofol and
remifentanil. Four patients have been considered and, for all of them, there has been no intervention of the anesthesiologists, neither in the induction nor in the maintenance phase. The whole TIVA procedure has been performed by the closed-loop system. Results are very promising, as they confirm that using a fixed ratio between the infusion rates of the two drugs is an effective way to handle the degree of freedom that results from the presence of two...
actuators and one controlled variable (i.e., the BIS value). Further, the conclusions drawn in (Merigo et al., 2019) through a Monte Carlo simulation about the robustness of the optimization-based tuning procedure are confirmed. This also shows that the set of models of patients used for the optimization of the PID parameters is sufficiently rich to obtain a tuning that can be employed in a general population. Future work will include testing the controller on a large set of patients in order to obtain a complete assessment of the proposed methodology by using statistically sound sample of the population.
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