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Abstract: Observability is an important topic of Boolean control networks (BCNs). In this paper, we propose a new type of observability named online observability to present the sufficient and necessary condition of determining the initial states of BCNs, when their initial states cannot be reset. And we design an algorithm to decide whether a BCN has the online observability. Moreover, we prove that a BCN is identifiable if it satisfies controllability and the online observability, which reveals the essence of identification problem of BCNs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In 1960s, Nobel Prize laureates Jacob and Monod claimed “Any cell contains a number of regulatory genes that act as switches and can turn one another on and off (Jacob and Monod (1961)). If genes can turn one another on and off, then you can have genetic circuits.” Inspired by these Boolean-type actions in genetic circuits, Boolean networks (BNs) were proposed by Kauffman for modeling nonlinear and complex biological systems (Kauffman (1968)).

1.1 Boolean control networks

A natural extension of BN is Boolean control network (BCN) with external regulations and perturbations (Ideker et al. (2001)). BCNs have been applied to various real-life problems and typical examples including structural and functional analysis of signaling and regulatory networks (Kauffman et al. (1999) and Klamt et al. (2006)), abduction based drug target discovery (Biane and Delaplace (2017)), and pursuing evasion problems in polygonal environments (Thumberg et al. (2011)).

A BCN has three distinct finite sets of nodes \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}, \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} and \{o_1, \ldots, o_n\} for some natural numbers \ell, m and n, which are called the input-nodes, state-nodes and output-nodes, respectively. As a BCN \mathcal{B}, each node of \mathcal{B} takes a Boolean value at any time point. We use \pi(t), s(t) and o(t) to denote the vectors of values \{i_1(t), \ldots, i_l(t)\} of the input-nodes, \{s_1(t), \ldots, s_m(t)\} of the state-nodes, and \{o_1(t), \ldots, o_n(t)\} of the output-nodes which are called the input, state and output at time \mathcal{t}, respectively.

- The state \pi(t+1) at time \mathcal{t} + 1 is determined by the input \pi(t) and state \pi(t) at time point \mathcal{t}, that is there is a Boolean function \sigma such that \pi(t+1) = \sigma(\pi(t), \pi(t))
- and the output \upsilon(t) at time \mathcal{t} is determined by the state \pi(t) at time \mathcal{t} that is there exists a Boolean function \rho such that \upsilon(t) = \rho(\pi(t))

These two functions are called the updating rules of \mathcal{B}. And a timed run (or execution) up to any time point \mathcal{t} of \mathcal{B} is a sequence \pi[0] = \pi(0) \ldots \pi(t) of inputs, a sequence \upsilon[0] = \upsilon(0) \ldots \upsilon(t) of states, and a sequence \upsilon[0] = \upsilon(0) \ldots \upsilon(t) of outputs. The sequences \upsilon[0] and \upsilon[0] are produced by the initial state \upsilon(0) and the input sequence \pi(0) \ldots \pi(t-1).

1.2 Related work: control-theoretical properties

In general, the updating rules are complex and it is difficult to analyze dynamic properties of a BCN \mathcal{B}, such as if it is possible and how to find a sequence \pi[0] of inputs so that a state \upsilon is reachable from an initial state \upsilon, and whether it is possible and how to find input sequences so that they and their corresponding output sequences can determine the initial state. These are regarded as control-theoretical issues of controllability, observability, reconstructibility and identifiability in the study of BCNs as control theory of dynamic systems (Akutsu et al. (2007); Cheng and Qi (2009); Zhao et al. (2010); Cheng et al. (2011b,a); Fornasini and Valcher (2013); Su et al. (2019); Mandon et al. (2019) and Zhang et al. (2015)). We summarize the notions of these control-theoretical properties as follows.
Controllability. The controllability was proposed in Akutsu et al. (2007) which states that a BCN is controllable if for any pair of states $s$ and $s'$, there exists an input sequence $l[t] = i(0) \ldots i(t)$ for some $t > 0$ such that $s(0) \ldots s(t+1)$ is the corresponding sequence of states with $s(0) = s$ and $s(t + 1) = s'$. And the problem of the controllability of BCNs is known to be NP-hard (Akutsu et al. (2007)).

Observability. This is in general about if it is possible and how to determine the initial state a BCN by providing sequences of inputs and observing the corresponding output sequences, when the updating rules are available (Cheng and Qi (2009)). Now four types of observability have been proposed in the literature (Zhang and Zhang (2016)).

(1) The Type-I observability was proposed in Cheng and Qi (2009). It states that a BCN is observable if for any initial state $s(0)$, there is an input sequence $l[t] = i(0) \ldots i(t)$ such that for any $s'(0)$ different from $s(0)$, the output sequence $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ produced by $l[t]$ from $s(0)$ is different from that $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ produced by $l[t]$ from $s'(0)$.

(2) The Type-II observability was proposed in Zhao et al. (2010). It states that a BCN is observable if for any two different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$, there exists an input sequence $l[t] = i(0) \ldots i(t)$ for some $t > 0$ such that the output sequences $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ and $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ corresponding to the different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$, respectively, are different.

(3) The Type-III observability was proposed in Cheng et al. (2011b) and it states that a BCN is observable if there exists an input sequence $l[t] = i(0) \ldots i(t)$ for some $t > 0$ such that for any two different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$, the output sequence $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ corresponding to $s(0)$ is different from that $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ corresponding to $s'(0)$.

(4) The Type-IV observability was proposed in Fornasini and Valcher (2013) which states that a BCN is observable if there is a natural number $N$ such that the output sequences $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ and $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ generated from any two different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$ by any input sequence $l[t] = i(0) \ldots i(t)$ are different if $t \geq N$.

Reconstructibility. This is in general about if it is possible and how to determine the current state a BCN by its input and output sequence, when we know the updating rules. There are two types of reconstructibility have been proposed in the literature (Zhang et al. (2020a)).

(1) The Type-I reconstructibility was proposed in Fornasini and Valcher (2013) which states that a BCN is reconstructible if there is a natural number $N$ such that the output sequences $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ and $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ generated from any two different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$ by any input sequence $l[t]$ are different if $s(t+1) \neq s'(t+1)$ and $t \geq N$.

(2) The Type-II reconstructibility was proposed in Zhang et al. (2015) and it states that a BCN is reconstructible if there exists an input sequence $l[t]$ for some $t > 0$ such that for any two different initial states $s(0)$ and $s'(0)$, the output sequence $o(0) \ldots o(t+1)$ corresponding to $s(0)$ is different from that $o'(0) \ldots o'(t+1)$ corresponding to $s'(0)$ if $s(t + 1) \neq s'(t + 1)$.

As it summarized in Zhang et al. (2020b), the uses of four types of observability in determining the initial state of a BCN are different. We can call the Type-I & II observability as strong multiple-experiment observability and multiple-experiment observability, respectively, as their algorithms for determining the initial state of a BCN of require that the initial state of the BCN can be reset again and again to repeatedly run the system. The algorithms of Type-III & IV observability for determining the initial state assume that the initial state of the BCN cannot be reset. And the algorithm of Type-IV observability requires that any sufficient long input sequence can determine the initial state. Therefore, the Type-III & IV observability are called as single-experiment observability and arbitrary-experiment observability, respectively. The uses of two types of reconstructibility in determining the current state of a BCN are also different (Zhang et al. (2020a)). The authors call the Type-I & II reconstructibility as arbitrary-experiment reconstructibility and single-experiment reconstructibility, respectively, for the same reason. And as the reconstructibility is proposed to determine the current state of a BCN, there are not such the notions of multiple-experiment reconstructibility and strong multiple-experiment reconstructibility.

Identifiability. The identifiability was proposed in Cheng et al. (2011b) which states that a BCN is identifiable if and only if it satisfies controllability and observability of Type-III. But latter in Zhang et al. (2017), researchers state that a BCN is identifiable if and only if it is controllable and satisfies the Type-II reconstructibility.

1.3 Our contribution

In Zhang et al. (2020b), researchers call the Type-III observability as single-experiment observability because they regard it as the sufficient and necessary condition of determining the initial state of a BCN when its initial state cannot be reset. But we find that it is not, and we propose a new type of observability named online observability to present this sufficient and necessary condition. The reason why we call this new type of observability as online observability will be illustrated in Section 2. We design an algorithm for deciding if a BCN has online observability as our second contribution. Lastly, we prove that the online observability, together with the controllability, is sufficient and necessary for the identifiability, which is different from the propositions mentioned in the previous subsection.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the necessary preliminaries, including the formal definition and related control-theoretical properties of a BCN. We present the formal definition of online observability Section 3. We show the decision algorithm of the online observability in Section 4. We prove a BCN is identifiable if it is online observable and controllable in Section 5. We draw our conclusions in Section 6.
2. PRELIMINARIES

We now introduce the formal definition of a BCN and its control theoretical properties. Throughout the paper, we use $\mathbb{B}$ to denote the set of Boolean values $\{0, 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ to denote the set of discrete time domain represented by the set of natural numbers.

2.1 Boolean Control Networks

We take the definition in Ideker et al. (2001) in which a Boolean control network (BCN) is given as a directed graph together with two Boolean valued functions which define the updating rules for the values of the nodes.

**Definition 1.** (Boolean Control Network) A BCN is a tuple $\mathcal{B} = (I, S, O, E, \sigma, \rho)$, where

- $I$, $S$ and $O$ are three finite nonempty disjoint sets of nodes (or vertices)
  - input-nodes $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$
  - state-nodes $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$
  - output-nodes: $O = \{o_1, \ldots, o_n\}$

- Each node is a Boolean variable which can take values in $\mathbb{B}$.
- $E \subseteq ((I \cup S) \times S) \cup (S \times O)$ is a set of edges among the nodes, and we say node $i'$ directly affects node $i$ when $(i, i')$ is an edge in $E$.
- The Boolean valued functions $\sigma : \mathbb{B}^l \times \mathbb{B}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ and $\rho : \mathbb{B}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ are functions from the pairs of $l$-dimension and $m$-dimension vectors of Boolean values to the $m$-dimension vectors of Boolean values and from the $m$-dimension vectors to the $n$-dimension vectors of Boolean values, respectively.
- **Updating rules:** We use $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, state $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$ and output $o = (o_1, \ldots, o_n)$ to denote the three Boolean vectors corresponding to the input-nodes, state-nodes and output-nodes. At any time $t \in \mathbb{T}$ during the execution of $\mathcal{B}$, each of the variables $i$, $s$ and $o$ take a vector of Boolean values $i(t)$, $s(t)$, $o(t)$ in $\mathbb{B}^k$, $\mathbb{B}^m$ and $\mathbb{B}^n$, respectively, such that the following equations are satisfied.

$$s(t+1) = \sigma(i(t), s(t)) \quad o(t) = \rho(s(t))$$ (1)

The above equations are also assumed to satisfy the following two conditions

1. The value $s_k(t+1)$ in $s(t+1)$ is affected by the value $i_j(t)$ of an input node $i_j$ at time $t$ only when $(i_j, s_k) \in E$ (or $(s_k, s_k) \in E$, respectively); and
2. The value $o_k(t)$ in $o(t)$ of an output node $o_k \in O$ is affected by the value $s_j(t)$ of a state node $s_j \in S$ only when $(s_j, o_k) \in E$.

The two updating functions are called the updating rules of $\mathcal{B}$. They define the values of the state-nodes at any time by the values of the input-nodes and state-nodes at the previous time point, and the values of the output-nodes by the values of the state-nodes, respectively. Such that, the relationship between inputs, states and outputs can be represented by Fig. 1. And we use $I_{\mathcal{B}}$, $S_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $O_{\mathcal{B}}$ to denote the sets of all possible inputs, states and outputs of $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. We will omit the subscript $\mathcal{B}$ of $I_{\mathcal{B}}$, $S_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $O_{\mathcal{B}}$ when there is no confusion.

2.2 Control theoretical properties of BCNs

In this subsection, we introduce the notations controllability, observability and identifiability of BCNs and their relations. In particular, we will give a summary about the existing work on observability in order to motivate our work. To this end, first define some notations below.

![Fig. 1. The relationship between inputs, states and outputs.](image1)

![Fig. 2. A Boolean control network.](image2)

![Fig. 3. The truth table which describe the updating rules of the BCN shown in Fig. 2.](image3)

![Fig. 4. The simplified form of the updating rules.](image4)
Given a BCN $B = (I,S,O,E,\sigma,\rho)$, let $I$, $S$ and $O$ be the sets of all possible inputs, states and outputs of $B$, respectively. Then a timed run of $B$ can be defined as a triple $R[t] = (I[t], S[t], O[t])$, where $I[t] \in I^{t+1}$, $S[t] \in S^{t+1}$ and $O[t] \in O^{t+1}$ such that for $t(l) = i(0) \ldots i(t)$, $S[t] = s(0) \ldots s(t)$ and $O[t] = o(0) \ldots o(t)$

- $\forall t' = 0, \ldots, t \cdot o(t') = \rho(s(t'))$, and
- $\forall t' = 1, \ldots, t \cdot s(t') = \sigma(i(t'-1), s(t'-1))$.

Secondly, we define the following two functions which define, for any interval $[t_0, t+1]$ of observing time from $t_0$ to $t+1$, the sequence of states and sequence of outputs produced, respectively, in the interval by a state $s(t_0)$ at time $t_0$ and a sequence of inputs in the interval $[t_0, t]$.

$$F^{[t_0,t]}: S \times \mathbb{T}^{(t-t_0+2)} \rightarrow S^{(t-t_0+2)}$$

$$F^{[t_0,t]}(s, (i(t_0), \ldots, i(t))) = s(t_0) \ldots s(t+1)$$

$$H^{[t_0,t]}: S \times \mathbb{T}^{(t-t_0+1)} \rightarrow O^{(t-t_0+2)}$$

$$H^{[t_0,t]}(s, (i(t_0), \ldots, i(t))) = o(t_0) \ldots o(t+1)$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

$(s(t_0)) = s^{N}$\land
$\forall t' = (t_0 + 1, \ldots, t + 1) \cdot (s(t')) = \sigma(i(t'-1), s(t'-1)))$\land
$\forall t' = 0, \ldots, t+1 \cdot (o(t')) = \rho(s(t'))$

These two functions generalize the two functions given in Zhang and Zhang (2016) for observability, where only the special case of $F^{[0,t]}$ and $H^{[0,t]}$ are given. Their extensions will be used when we present the new observability.

Then we can define the following properties.

**Definition 2. (Controllability).** A BCN is controllable if for any two distinct states $s, s' \in S$, there is an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, such that $F^{[0,t]}(s, l[t]) = s(0) \ldots s(t+1)$ and $s(t+1) = s'$ (Cheng and Qi (2009)).

Thus, if a BCN $B$ is controllable, any state $s'$ is reachable from any initial state $s$, and we use an input sequence $l[t]$ to make $B$ reach $s'$ from $s$.

**Definition 3. (Observable).** We define the four types of observability below.

1. The **Type-I** observability is that, a BCN is observable if for every initial state $s \in S$, there exists an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, such that for all states $s'$, $H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t])$ if $s \neq s'$ (Cheng and Qi (2009)).

2. The **Type-II** observability is that, a BCN is observable if for every two distinct initial states $s, s' \in S$, there is an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, such that $H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t])$ (Zhao et al. (2010)).

3. The **Type-III** observability is that, a BCN is observable if there exists an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, such that for any two distinct states $s, s' \in S$, $H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t])$ (Cheng et al. (2011b)).

4. The **Type-IV** observability is that, a BCN is observable, if there is a natural number $N$, such that for every input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ with $t \geq N$, $H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t])$ holds for any two distinct states $s, s' \in S$ (Fornasini and Valcher (2013)).

**Definition 4. (Reconstructibility).** We define the two types of observability below.

1. The **Type-I** reconstructibility is that, a BCN is reconstructible, if there is a natural number $N$, such that for every input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ with $t \geq N$, $H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t])$ holds for any two distinct states $s, s' \in S$ if their corresponding current states $s(t+1)$ and $s'(t+1)$ are different (Fornasini and Valcher (2013)).

2. The **Type-II** reconstructibility is that, a BCN is reconstructible if there exists an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, such that for any two distinct states $s, s' \in S$, $H^{[0,t]}(s', l[t]) \neq H^{[0,t]}(s, l[t])$ if their corresponding current states $s(t+1)$ and $s'(t+1)$ are different (Zhang et al. (2015)).

**Definition 5. (Identifiability).** A BCN is identifiable if there exists an input sequence $l[t] \in I^{t+1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that the updating rules

$$s(t+1) = \sigma(i(t), s(t))$$

$$o(t) = \rho(s(t))$$

can be constructed by $l[t]$ and its corresponding output sequence $O[t+1]$ (Cheng et al. (2011b)).

**Remark** As mentioned in Cheng et al. (2011b), to identify a BCN, the number of its state-nodes is required. Moreover, a BCN $B$ with updating rules

$$s(t+1) = \sigma(i(t), s(t))$$

$$o(t) = \rho(s(t))$$

and a BCN $B'$ with updating rules

$$s(t+1) = \sigma'(i(t), s(t)) = f(\sigma(i(t), f^{-1}(s(t))))$$

$$o(t) = \rho'(s(t)) = f^{-1}(s(t))$$

are not distinguishable by any input-output data if the $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bijective function from the $m$-dimensional vectors to the $m$-dimensional vectors of Boolean values, and $f^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $f$. Thus, precisely speaking, we can identify the equivalence class of updating rules:

$$\{(f(\sigma(i(t), f^{-1}(s(t)))), \rho(f^{-1}(s(t)))) : f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m\},$$

not the specific updating rules $(\sigma, \rho)$. With the equivalence class, we can further identify the updating rules by the BCN's structure.

In conclusion, the controllability and observability (or reconstructability) are proposed for researching if it is possible and how to control or determine the initial state (or current state) of a BCN, respectively, when we know its updating rules. While, the identifiability is proposed for researching if it is possible and how to find the equivalence class of updating rules by its inputs and outputs and size. These properties are also closely related. The Type-III observability and Type-IV observability imply the Type-II reconstructibility and Type-I reconstructibility, respectively. There is a theorem proposed in Cheng et al. (2011b) which states that a BCN is identifiable if it satisfies controllability and the Type-III observability. While, in Zhang et al. (2017), researchers state that controllability together with the Type-II reconstructibility is the sufficient and necessary condition of identifiability.
3. THE ONLINE OBSERVABILITY OF BCNS

Different from the proposition presented in Cheng et al. (2011b) which states that we can determine a BCN’s initial state by doing one experiment iff it satisfies the Type-III observability. In this section, we define the online observability to present the single-experiment observability for BCNs, i.e. the property that the initial state of a BCN can be determined without resetting. After that, we present the relationship between the online observability and existing four types of observability.

To define the online observability, we start with the derivation function \( \psi(S, i, o) \). We write

\[
\psi : (\mathcal{I} \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad \psi(S, i, o) = \begin{cases} \sigma(s, s) & i \neq \varepsilon \\ s & i = \varepsilon \end{cases}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) presents empty input. Then the derivation function \( \psi(S, i, o) \) can be defined as follows.

\[
\zeta : 2^S \times (\mathcal{I} \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times (O \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^S
\]

\[
(\zeta(S, i, o) = \begin{cases} \{\psi(i, s) | s \in S, \rho(\psi(i, s)) = o \} & o \neq \varepsilon \\ \{\psi(i, s) | s \in S\} & o = \varepsilon \end{cases}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) presents empty input or empty output.

Secondly, we recursively define the following function to present how to derive the set \( S(t) \) of possible valuations of a BCN’s state \( s(t) \) at time \( t \) by its input sequence \( i(0) \ldots i(t-1) \) and output sequence \( o(0) \ldots o(t) \).

\[
G^{|t|} : \mathcal{I}^t \times O^{t+1} \rightarrow 2^S
\]

\[
G^{|t|}(i(0) \ldots i(t-1), o(0) \ldots o(t)) = \{s^1, \ldots, s^k\} \tag{5}
\]

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- When \( t = 0 \), \( i(0) = \varepsilon \) and \( \{s^1, \ldots, s^k\} = \zeta(S, \varepsilon, o(0)) \);
- When \( t > 0 \), \( \{s^1, \ldots, s^k\} = \zeta(G^{|t-1|}(i(0) \ldots i(t-2), o(0) \ldots o(t-2)) \cup \{i(t-1), o(t-1)\}) \).

That is the set \( S(t) = G^{|t|}(i(0) \ldots i(t-1), o(0) \ldots o(t)) \), and for any \( t_0 : 0 < t_0 \leq t \), \( S(t_0) = \zeta(S(t_0-1), i(t_0-1), o(t_0)) \).

Example 2. In the BCN in Example 1, if \( t = 2 \), \( i(0) = i(1) = 1 \), \( o(0) \ldots o(t) = 0^30 \ldots 0^3 \), then \( S(t-2) = G^{|0|}(\varepsilon, 0) = \{s, s^2\} \), \( S(t-1) = G^{|1|}(1, 0^2) = \{s^1, s^2, s^3\} \), \( S(t) = G^{|2|}(1^2, o^2) = \{s^1, s^2, s^3\} \).

Thus, we can get a conclusion that the state \( s(t) \) can be determined in \( k \) steps without resetting iff

- \( |S(t+k)| = 1 \), i.e. the \( s(t+k) \) is determined, and
- for every \( i_0 : t+1 \leq t_0 \leq t+k \), for every \( s(t_0) \in S(t_0) \) there exists only one \( s'(t_0-1) \in S(t_0-1) \) satisfies that \( s(t_0) = \sigma(i(t_0-1), s'(t_0-1)) \).

Thus, as the next step, we define a function \( \Gamma(S) \) to depict the number of steps we need to determine \( s(t) \) if \( S(t) = S \).

\[
\Gamma : (2^S - \emptyset) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T} \cup \{\infty\}) \tag{6}
\]

which satisfies that

- if \( |S| = 1 \), then \( \Gamma(S) = 0 \);
- if \( |S| > 1 \)
  - if there is an input \( i \in \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \zeta(S, i, o) = \emptyset \) and \( \forall o \in \mathcal{O} \cdot \zeta(S, i, o) \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \Gamma(S) = \infty \),
  - then \( \Gamma(S) = 1 + \min_{i \in \mathcal{I}, o \in \mathcal{O}} \max_{o' \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{o\}} \Gamma(S, i, o') \)
  - otherwise, \( \Gamma(S) = \infty \).

Example 3. In the BCN in Example 1, if \( o(0) = 0^2 \) then \( S(0) = \zeta(S, \varepsilon, 0^2) = \{s^1, s^2\} \).

As \( |S(0)| = 2 > 1 \), and there exists an input \( i^1 \) such that

- \( \zeta(S(0), i^1, \varepsilon) = \{|s^2, s^6|\} = |S(0)| \),
- and for each \( o \in \mathcal{O} \) that \( \zeta(S(0), i^1, o) \neq \emptyset \)
  - \( \Gamma(S(0), i^1, o^1) = \Gamma(s^2) = 0 \);
  - \( \Gamma(S(0), i^1, o^2) = \Gamma(s^6) = 0 \),

we have

\[
\Gamma(S(0)) = 1 + \min_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \max_{o \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{o(0)\}} \Gamma(S(0), i, o) = 1 + 0 = 1
\]

i.e. the \( s(0) \) can be determined at time 1 without resetting.

Then, the online observability can be defined as follows.

**Definition 6. (Online Observability of BCNs).** A BCN is online observable if for every \( o \in \mathcal{O} \), \( \zeta(S, \varepsilon, 0) \neq \emptyset \) implies \( \Gamma(S, \varepsilon, 0) \neq \infty \).

That is the initial state \( s(0) \) of a BCN can be determined without resetting iff for every possible \( S(0) \), \( \Gamma(S(0)) \neq \infty \).

In order to make this definition more convincing, we illustrate how to determine the initial state of a BCN with online observability below. To this end, we define \( \psi(S) \) to depict the set of inputs we can choose from at time \( t \) in the process of determining the initial state, if \( S(t) = S \).

\[
\psi : (2^S - \emptyset) \rightarrow 2^\mathcal{I}
\]

\[
\psi(S) = \{i \in \mathcal{I} | \zeta(S, i, \varepsilon) \in |S|, \forall o \in \mathcal{O} \cdot \zeta(S, i, o) \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \Gamma(S, i, o) \neq \infty\} \tag{7}
\]

Then we can determine the initial state of a BCN \( S \) with online observability by following procedures.

**Step 1** Derive the set \( S(0) \) of possible valuations of initial state \( s(0) \) by the output \( o(0) \) of \( \mathcal{B} \), i.e. \( S(0) = \zeta(S, \varepsilon, o(0)) \), and set the set variable \( S = S(0) \) by \( S(0) \).

**Step 2** Input to the BCN \( B \) with an input \( i \in \psi(S) \), and run \( B \) to generate the new output \( o(0) \).

**Step 3** Determine the new \( S(t) \) by the input \( i, o(t) \) and \( S \), i.e. \( S(t) = \zeta(S, i, o(t)) \), and update the set \( S = S(t) \) by \( S(t) \).

**Step 4** If the cardinal number \( |S| = 1 \), then return \( s(0) \) which is determined by the output sequence \( O[0] = o(0) \ldots o(t) \) and input sequence \( I[t-1] = i(0) \ldots i(t-1) \) as the initial state. Otherwise, go to Step 2.

In Step 4, when \( |S| = 1 \), for the input sequence \( I[t-1] \), there is only one state \( s \) whose corresponding output sequence
Fig. 5. The relationship between five types of observability.

\[ H^{0:t-1}(s, I[t-1]) \] equals to the output sequence \( O[t] \) of \( B \), thus, we can determine the initial state by \( I[t] \) and \( O[t] \), i.e. \( s(0) = s \).

**Example 4.** The BCN in Example 1 is a convincing example. In this BCN, we have \( \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o^0)) = 0; \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o^1)) = 2; \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o^2)) = 1; \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o^3)) = 1 \). Thus, it is online observable, and we can determine its initial state by above procedures without resetting.

Moreover, this BCN does not satisfy the Type-III observability because for any \( t \in T \),
- for any input sequence \( I[t] \) starting with \( i^0 \), \( H^{0:1}(s^1, I[t]) = H^{0:1}(s^2, I[t]) \);
- for any input sequence \( I[t] \) starting with \( i^1 \), \( H^{0:1}(s^3, I[t]) = H^{0:1}(s^4, I[t]) \).

i.e. for any \( t \in T \), there is not any input sequence \( I[t] \in I[t] \) which satisfies that for any two distinct states \( s, s' \in S \), \( H^{0:1}(s, I[t]) \neq H^{0:1}(s', I[t]) \).

We call the observability we propose online observability because in above procedure, we choose the input \( i(t) \) at every time \( t \) based on the information of the inputs and outputs of BCN we have collected so far, i.e. \( i(t) \in \psi(S(t)) \). In contrast, we call the type-III observability offline observability, because in the algorithm of type-III observability, we determine the initial state \( s(0) \) of a BCN by its recorded output sequence \( O[t] = H^{0:t-1}(s(0), I[t-1]) = o(0) \ldots o(t) \) after we input \( I[t-1] = i(0) \ldots i(t-1) \). That is we do not interfere with BCN except for the logging of its inputs and outputs.

**Lemma 1.** The Type-III observability implies the online observability.

**Lemma 2.** The online observability implies the Type-I observability.

Moreover, we propose two lemmas for the implication relationship between the Type-I & III observability and online observability. The proofs of all lemmas are shown in the extended version (Wu et al. (2019)) of this article due to space limits. Moreover, it is noted in Zhang and Zhang (2016) that the Type-I observability is stronger than the Type-II observability; the Type-III observability is stronger than the Type-I observability; and the Type-IV observability is the strongest. Therefore, the implication relationship of five type of observability can be shown by Fig. 5, in which, the area which is labelled with a type of observability presents the set of BCNs which satisfy this type of observability, and the area which is labelled with “U” presents the set of all of the BCNs.

4. ALGORITHMS FOR ONLINE OBSERVABILITY

In this section, we propose decision algorithm for the online observability. To decide whether a BCN \( B \) satisfies online observability, one needs to determine \( \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o)) \) of every non-empty \( \zeta(S,e,o) \).

We begin with the input-labelled graph \( G = (V,E,L) \).

**Definition 7.** (Input-labelled Graph) Let \( V, E \) and \( L \) be the vertex set, the edge set and the labelling function of an input-labelled graph \( G = (V,E,L) \). \( G \) is called the input-labelled graph of the BCN if

\[ V = \{ S \in ( \bigcup_{o \in O} 2^{\zeta(S,e,o)} - \emptyset ) \mid \Gamma(S) \neq \infty \}; \]
\[ E = \{ (S_1, S_2) \in V \times V \mid S_2 \in \{ \zeta(S_1,i,o) \mid i \in \psi(S_1), o \in O \} \}; \]
\[ L : E \rightarrow 2^E, L(S_1, S_2) = \{ i \in \psi(S_1) \mid S_2 \in \{ \zeta(S_1,i,o) \mid o \in O \} \}. \]

**Example 5.** The input-labelled graph of the BCN in Example 1 is shown in Fig. 6.

Intuitively, in the input-labelled graph \( G = (V,E,L) \), \( V \) represents a set of the state sets that for every \( S \in V \), \( \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o)) \neq \infty \), and for every two distinct states \( S, S' \in S \), they produce the same output; \( E \) represents the relationship between the state sets which belong to \( V \); and \( L \) labels every edge \( e \in E \) with a set of inputs. Thus, we have a BCN \( B \) is online observable iff any non-empty \( \zeta(S,e,o) \in V \).

Secondly, we propose two lemmas for the funtions \( \Gamma(S) \) and \( \psi(S) \), respectively.

**Lemma 3.** For any two non-empty state sets \( S^1 \) and \( S^2 \), if \( S^1 \subseteq S^2 \) and \( \Gamma(S^2) \neq \infty \), then \( \Gamma(S^1) \neq \infty \).

**Lemma 4.** For any two non-empty state sets \( S^1 \) and \( S^2 \), if \( S^1 \subseteq S^2 \) and \( \Gamma(S^2) \neq \infty \), then \( \psi(S^2) \subseteq \psi(S^1) \).

With Lemma 3, 4 and input-labelled graph, we propose the algorithm (which is also shown in Wu et al. (2019)) to determine the online observability. That is we construct the input-labelled graph \( G = (V,E,L) \) for a BCN \( B \) at first, and then check whether every non-empty \( \zeta(S,e,o) \in V \).

In the process of constructing the input-labelled graph, we construct the vertexes which consist of smaller numbers of states before constructing the vertexes which consist of greater numbers of states for the following two reasons.

- If we find a set of states \( S \notin V \), then as there exists an output \( o \in O \) which satisfies that \( S \notin \zeta(S,e,o) \), we have \( \Gamma(\zeta(S,e,o)) = \infty \) and this BCN \( B \) is not online observable based on the Lemma 3.
- And based on the Lemma 4, if we have determined \( \psi(S') \) for every \( S' \subset S \), we can determine the approx-
imate scope of $\psi(S)$. With the scope of $\psi(S)$, we can determine the $\Gamma(S)$ more easily.

5. OBSERVABILITY AND IDENTIFIABILITY

In this section, we prove that a BCN is identifiable if it has controllability and online observability.

We define the determining tree to illustrate the processes of determining the initial state at first.

Definition 8. (Determining tree). If a BCN $B$ satisfies the online observability then we can great at least one determining tree for it. In the tree, every node $n$ is a variable which can take a set of states, an input and an output (the input and output can be $e$), i.e. $n = (S, e, o)$. If a node is the root node then $n = (S, e, e)$; if a node $n = (S, i, o)$ is a leaf node then $|S| = 1$, $i = e$; if a node $n = (S, i, o)$ is not a leaf node then its successor nodes form a set of nodes \( \{ n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]) \} \), $\{ n[k] \}$ is not a leaf node; and

- for every node $n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]) \in \{ n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]), \ldots, n[k] = (S[k], i[k], o[k]) \}$, $S[i] = \zeta(S, i, o[x])$, and $i[x] \in \psi(S[x])$ if $n$ is a leaf node; and
- for any two distinct $n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i])$ and $n[k] = (S[k], i[k], o[k])$, $o[i] \neq o[k]$; and
- $|S[i]|, \ldots, |S[k]| = |S|$.

Then we have the number of leaf nodes is equal to the number $2^m$ of all states of the BCN $B$, where $m$ is the number of state-nodes.

Intuitively, a path of the determining tree presents a state determining tree, every node $(S, e, o)$ is the root node then $(S, e, e)$ is a leaf node, and $i$ is not a leaf node then its successor nodes form a set of nodes $\{ n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]), \ldots, n[k] = (S[k], i[k], o[k]) \}$ that

- for every node $n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]) \in \{ n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i]), \ldots, n[k] = (S[k], i[k], o[k]) \}$, $S[i] = \zeta(S, i, o[x])$, and $i[x] \in \psi(S[x])$ if $n$ is a leaf node; and
- for any two distinct $n[i] = (S[i], i[i], o[i])$ and $n[k] = (S[k], i[k], o[k])$, $i[x] \in \psi(S[x])$ if $n$ is a leaf node; and
- $|S[i]|, \ldots, |S[k]| = |S|$.

Secondly, we define the none state determining tree.

Definition 9. (None state determining tree). In the none state determining tree, every node $n$ is a variable which can take an input and an output (the input and output can be $e$), i.e. $n = (i, o)$. If a node is the root node then $n = (e, e)$; if a node $n = (i, o)$ is a leaf node then $i = e$, and the number of leaf nodes is equal to $2^m$; if a node $n = (i, o)$ is not a leaf node then its successor nodes form a set of nodes $\{ n[i] = (i[i], o[i]), \ldots, n[k] = (i[k], o[k]) \}$ that

- for every node $n[i] = (i[i], o[i]) \in \{ n[i] = (i[i], o[i]), \ldots, n[k] = (i[k], o[k]) \}$, $i[i] \in \psi(i[x])$ if $n$ is a leaf node; and
- for any two distinct $n[i] = (i[i], o[i])$ and $n[k] = (i[k], o[k])$, $i[x] \in \psi(i[x])$ if $n$ is a leaf node; and
- $|i[i]|, \ldots, |i[k]| = |i|$.

Example 7. We construct a none state determining tree (Fig. 8) by removing the set of states in Fig. 7.

With the determining tree and none state determining tree, we propose the following lemma.

Lemma 5. A BCN is identifiable if it satisfies the controllability and the online observability.

The proof of this lemma is shown in the extended version (Wu et al. (2019)) of this article due space limits. Shortly speaking, if a BCN $B$ is online observable and controllable, we can construct a none state determining tree from its input-output data. With this tree, we can identify the

Fig. 7. The determining tree.

Fig. 8. The none state determining tree.

updating rules $(\sigma', \rho')$ for a BCN $B'$ which is equivalent to $B$. Thus this BCN $B$ is identifiable. In order to make this lemma more convincing, we present the following example.

Example 8. As the BCN $B$ in Example 1 satisfies the online observability and controllability, we can construct the input-output data (Fig. 9) from it.

Firstly, we can construct a none state determining tree (Fig. 8) by the $2^3$ pairs of input sequences and output sequences $(e, o^0), (i^0, o^0 o^1), (i^1, o^0), (i^1, o^0 o^1), (i^1, o^0 o^1)$, which can be found in the input-output data. As these pairs can construct a none state determining tree, we have the $2^3$ sets of states $\{s|\rho(s) = o^0\}, \{s|H[0,0](s, i^0) = o^0 o^1\}, \{s|H[0,1](s, i^1) = o^0 o^1\}, \{s|H[0,0](s, i^0) = o^0 o^1\}, \{s|H[0,1](s, i^1) = o^0 o^1\}$, which are disjoint. We regard them as the states of sets $\{s^0\}, \{s^1\}, \{s^0\}, \{s^1\}$, respectively (Fig. 10). Then, we can construct the $\rho'$ (shown in Fig. 12) for $B'$ from this input-output-state data.

Secondly, for every $s$, for every $i$, the $s' = \sigma'(i, s)$ can be determined by the tree (Fig. 8). Moreover, from the input-output-state data (Fig. 10), we have for any two distinct states $s$ and $s' \in B'$, there exists an input sequence which can make $B'$ reaches $s$ from $s'$. Therefore, we can further construct the input-output-state data (Fig. 11), and construct the $\rho'$ (Fig. 12) for $B'$.

The BCN $B'$ is equivalent to $B$ because the $(\sigma', \rho')$ satisfies

\[
\sigma(t + 1) = \sigma'(i(t), s(t)) = f(\sigma(i(t), f^{-1}(s(t))))
\]

\[
\rho(t) = \rho'(s(t)) = f^{-1}(s(t))
\]

where the bijection function $f$ is shown in Fig. 13. Therefore, the BCN $B$ is identifiable.

With Lemma 5, we can easily obtain the relationship between identifiability and other properties. The controllability...
Fig. 9. The input-output data.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
\text{t} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\text{o}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{i}(t) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 10. The input-output-state data.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
\text{t} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\text{o}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{i}(t) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{s}(t) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 11. The further constructed input-output-state data.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
\text{t} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 \\
\text{o}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{i}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{s}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 12. The updating rules of $B'$.  

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{S} & \text{s}^0 & \text{s}^1 \\
\text{f(s)} & \text{s}^0 & \text{s}^1 & \text{s}^2 & \text{s}^3 & \text{s}^4 & \text{s}^5 & \text{s}^6 & \text{s}^7 \\
\text{t} & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 & \text{i}^0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 13. The coordinate bijective function $f$.  

In this paper, we formally defined the online observability, proposed the algorithm to decide the online observability for BCNs, and proved the relationship between identifiability and online observability.

But the decision algorithm of online observability mentioned in this paper has not been analyzed for complexity. Thus, we will research this problems and try to use some knowledge about formal methods to earn scalability for the online observability problem of BCNs in our future work.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we formally defined the online observability, proposed the algorithm to decide the online observability for BCNs, and proved the relationship between identifiability and online observability.
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