Model Predictive Control of the AC voltage of an Electric-Vehicle Charging Station with ESS
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Abstract: With the recent rapid expansion of electric vehicles, a large number of Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) have been installed. As a result, the impact of EV chargers on the power system is being significantly increased. In particular, the EVSE connected to the distribution network line may act as a peak load, which can adversely affect the voltage stability of the distribution network system when the electric vehicle is quickly charged. In this paper, a Model Predictive Control (MPC) algorithm with disturbance observer (DOB) is proposed for stabilizing voltage of EVs Charging Station which is composed of EV chargers, Photovoltaic (PV), Energy Storage System (ESS) and Loads. The proposed DOB estimate the sum of total current which is the source of voltage fluctuations due to line impedance in the EV charging station. The grid voltage remains stable under the various operating condition including the extreme scenarios by proposed methods. Simulations are performed by means of MATLAB/SIMULINK and result shows the effectiveness of the proposed DOB and MPC control scheme.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The EVSE connected to the distribution network line may act as a peak load, which can adversely affect the voltage stability of the distribution network system when the electric vehicle is quickly charged. For this reason, it is necessary to operate the EVSE while maintaining the stability of the power distribution system.

There are studies based on electric vehicle charging pricing strategies to keep the voltage stable in power systems integrated with EVSE [2-3]. First, EV mobility is generated by the travel characteristics of the EV user and predicts the electric vehicle charging load. Then double-layer optimization model which considers both charging cost and voltage deviation was developed to optimize the charging pricing strategy and minimize the total voltage magnitude deviation in the power distribution networks [2]. And a time-of-use (TOU) pricing scheme was proposed and it discussed shifting the EV load demand to off-peak time by the TOU schedule based on EV load demand, residential customer load profile, and service transformer loading constraints [3]. These electric vehicle charging cost strategies do not require additional equipment and are easy to apply. However, the above methods cannot maintain a stable voltage if the instantaneous voltage fluctuation is occurred due to the charging load of the EVSE.

To solve this problem, an DC-link based Electric-Vehicle Charging Station models combined with renewable energy and energy storage system (ESS) and loads as shown in Fig. 1 was introduced in [4-5]. It is an approach to alleviate the charging load of electric vehicle charger connected to power system using energy of ESS and PV. In [4], a decentralized strategy was proposed to control the Medium Voltage DC (MVDC) Bus EV Charging Station. Despite the irregular EV charging load, the voltage on the MVDC bus is maintained reliably by PV, ESS with DC/DC converter, and grid-connected three-phase inverters. In this DC link based EV charging station, the EV charger was individually controlled in Constant-Current and Constant-Voltage mode according to the voltage and the optimal power flow scheme was performed [5]. This strategy aims to use the minimum energy from the grid, and EV is considered not only a charging load, but also a source of energy that can be discharged (V2G). The method of operating EV charging stations using the common DC link proposed in these studies is limited to commercial products that meet the operating DC voltage range of the system, thus the flexibility in configuring components of the EV charging station is limited. The inverter-BESS (Battery Energy Storage System) primary control scheme is introduced to support voltage-frequency in AC Microgrids (MG) not only in grid-connected...
mode but also in islanded mode [6]. It presented a cascade control type that performs frequency and voltage regulation through Droop control and PI current control. However, since the voltage is controlled by reactive power, it is not suitable for distribution networks with a low X/R ratio. Recently, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) PI control strategy is proposed to improve the power quality using inverter with BESS in AC MG and it carry out an optimal online tuning of the controller parameters [7].

In this paper, a Model Predictive Control (MPC) algorithm with disturbance observer (DOB) is proposed for stabilizing voltage of EVs Charging Station. The voltage remains stable under the various operating condition including the extreme load condition of the PV, EV and other loads by means of controlling the bi-directional inverter combined with the ESS. In particular, the DOB estimate the total amount of current in the EV charging station, it is not necessary to install additional current sensors and communication lines. A Low Voltage AC (LVAC) of the power distribution system is used to increase the flexibility of component configuration, and the line impedance between the common coupling points of the power distribution system and EV Charging Station is considered.

2. System Description and Modeling

2.1 Bi-directional three phase Inverter with ESS

Fig. 2 shows the topology of three-phase bi-directional inverter with LC filter, where the $V_g^{abc}(V_g^a, V_g^b, V_g^c)$, $V_c^{abc}(V_c^a, V_c^b, V_c^c)$, $V_i^{abc}(V_i^a, V_i^b, V_i^c)$, $i_o^{abc}(i_o^a, i_o^b, i_o^c)$, $i_L^{abc}(i_L^a, i_L^b, i_L^c)$, $L, C$ are the three phase grid voltage, output voltage (capacitor voltage), input voltage, inverter output current, inductor current, filter inductors and capacitors respectively. The parasitic resistances are ignored and system parameters are given in Table I. The ESS is connected directly to dc-link of inverter and the voltage of dc-link is varied as the value of state-of-charge (SOC).

The switching frequency of the inverter is sufficiently high, so the pulse width modulated elements can be simplified as proportional elements using average switching model. A three-phase system in the stationary frame can be transformed into the synchronous dq frame, and the mathematical model of the LC filter can be represented as follows.

$$\frac{dV_c^{dq}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_c^{dq} + wMV_c^{dq} - \frac{1}{C} i_o^{dq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$M := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The input voltage $V_i^{dq} = [V_i^d V_i^q]^T$ are determined by state of the switching devices $S_1 \sim S_3$ including its inverse state $\bar{S}_1 \sim \bar{S}_3$ and dc-link voltage $V_{dc}$. This can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_a^d \\ V_b^d \\ V_c^d \\ V_a^q \\ V_b^q \\ V_c^q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \vert -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_a \\ S_b \\ S_c \end{bmatrix} V_{dc}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, the $S_a, S_b$ and $S_c$ are value of the switching device. In ‘ON’ state of $S_a$, the $S_a$ becomes ‘1’ and ‘0’ in the ‘OFF’ state of $S_a$. The rest values of $S_b$ and $S_c$ are also determined in the same manner as $S_a$ on the states of the switches $S_2$ and $S_3$.

2.2 Distribution Network with Line Impedance

The EV Charging Station is commonly integrated with distribution networks to provide the charging service to customers. In contrast to transmission line, distribution line has low X/R ratio, therefore the voltage of distribution network is sensitive to active power transfer. And thus, the line impedance should be considered in EV Charging Station model as shown in Fig. 3, where $L_g, R_g$ are distribution line reactance and resistance respectively. Assuming that the line impedance is determined in design step of the EV Charging station, it is known parameter.

![Fig. 3. EV Charging Station with Line Impedance](image)

The line impedance integrated with EV Charging Station can be modeled as

$$\frac{di_g^{dq}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_g} V_c^{dq} + (wM - \frac{R_g}{L_g}) i_g^{dq} - \frac{1}{L_g} V_i^{dq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As it shown in Fig. 3, the $i_g^{dq}$ is the output current of the inverter and output current $i_g^{dq}$ is the total sum of whole component current in EV Charging Station. Defining the disturbance $d_g^{dq}$ caused by the PV, Loads and EV chargers except the inverter, the output current $i_g^{dq}$ can be written as

$$i_g^{dq} := i_o^{dq} + d_g^{dq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Combining (1)-(5), it can be obtained the state-space matrices in (6), including disturbance $d_g^{dq}$ and line impedance $R_g$ and $L_g$. 
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The state vector is \( \mathbf{x} = [i_{t1}^{dq} V_{c}^{dq} i_{g}^{dq}]^T \) and \( \mathbf{u} = [V_{t1}^{dq}]^T \) is control input vector. In addition, two measurements are available in form of output vector \( \mathbf{y} = [i_{t2}^{dq} V_{c}^{dq}] \), inductor current \( i_{t2}^{dq} \), capacitor voltage \( V_{c}^{dq} \), and are expressed as
\[
\dot{x}^{dq}(t) = A x^{dq}(t) + B u^{dq}(t) + B_x d^{dq}(t) + B_y g^{dq}(t), \quad \forall t \quad (6)
\]
\[
y^{dq}(t) = C x^{dq}(t), \quad \forall t \quad (7)
\]
where
\[
A := \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{wM}{i_l} & -\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} & 0_{2x2} \\
\frac{wM}{i_l} & -\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} & 0_{2x2}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} \\
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} & 0_{2x2} & 0_{2x2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
B_e := \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} \\
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} & 0_{2x2} & 0_{2x2}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B_g := \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} \\
\frac{1}{i_l} I_{2x2} & 0_{2x2} & 0_{2x2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
C := [I_{4x4} \ 0_{2x2}]
\]

The continuous-time space state model in (6)-(7) can be transformed into the following discrete-time space state model with the sampling time \( T \).
\[
x(k + 1) = A x(k) + B u(k) + B_x d(k) + B_y V_g(k), \quad \forall k \quad (8)
\]
\[
y(k) = C x(k), \quad \forall t \quad (9)
\]
where
\[
A := e^{AT}, \quad B := \int_0^T e^{AT} dB_e, \quad B_d := \int_0^T e^{AT} dB_d, \quad B_g := \int_0^T e^{AT} dB_g, \quad C := C_e
\]

### 3. Observer Design

In this section, observer design methods to estimate the state \( \mathbf{x} \) and disturbance \( \mathbf{d} \) are proposed. The observed state and the observed disturbances are denoted by \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{d} \), respectively. The unmeasured value \( i_{g}^{dq} \) is the source of voltage fluctuations due to line impedance. In order to compensate the effect \( i_{g}^{dq} \), it will be estimated using a disturbance observer.

The discrete-time space state model of (8)-(9) can be expressed follows with the disturbance \( \hat{d} \)
\[
d(k + 1) = \hat{d}(k), \quad \forall k \quad (10)
\]
\[
g(k + 1) = A_e g(k) + B_u u(k) + B_y V_g(k) \quad (11)
\]
\[
y(k + 1) = C_e \hat{g}(k) \quad (12)
\]
Where,
\[
\hat{g}(k) := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k) \\ \hat{d}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_e := \begin{bmatrix} A & B_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_d := \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0_{2x2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_l := \begin{bmatrix} B_g \\ 0_{2x2} \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
C_e := [C \ 0_{4x2}]
\]

In order to verify the observability of the system in (11)-(12), the observability matrix \( \mathbf{O} \) is defined as
\[
\mathbf{O} := \begin{bmatrix} C_e A_e, C_e A_e^2, C_e A_e^3 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

The state observer is constructed to estimates the full state of the inverter model with line impedance. Since this system has two measurements, inductor current \( i_{t2}^{dq} \) and capacitor voltage \( V_c^{dq} \), the state observer \( \hat{x} \) can be represented by means of state observer gain \( L_1 \) and disturbance observer \( \hat{d} \). The Luenberger type observer is used to make the prediction of state \( x(k+1) \) as follows:
\[
\hat{x}(k + 1) = A x(k) + B u(k) + L_1 (y - \hat{y}(k)) + B_d \hat{d}(k) + B_y V_g(k), \quad (13)
\]
Where, \( V_g(k) \) is the grid voltage and assumes that the secondary feeder is connected to strong grid, the voltage on PCC is stable condition.

Also, the disturbance observer \( \hat{d} \) can be represented with the disturbance observer gain \( L_2 \) and integral action as shown below:
\[
\hat{d}(k + 1) = \hat{d}(k) + L_2(y(k) - \hat{y}(k)), \quad \forall k \quad (14)
\]

The error of state observer \( \mathbf{x} \) and the error of disturbance observer \( \mathbf{d} \) are defined as \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{d} \), respectively, and can be expressed as follows:
\[
\hat{x}(k + 1) = x(k + 1) - \hat{x}(k + 1) \quad (15)
\]
\[
\hat{d}(k + 1) = d(k + 1) - \hat{d}(k + 1) \quad (16)
\]

Then, substituting (8), (13) into the state observer error in (15) and (10), (14) into the disturbance observer error in (16) yields the augmented state space model as
\[
\hat{g}(k + 1) = [A_e - L C_e] \hat{g}(k) \quad (17)
\]
Where,
\[
\hat{g}(k) = [\hat{x}(k) \ \hat{d}(k)]^T, \quad L := \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

The system matrix \( [A_e - L C_e] \) of augmented model should be Hurwitz matrix to converge to zero value of the state observer error and disturbance observer error in (15), (16). In order to determine the observer gain matrix \( \mathbf{L} \) of augmented model, suppose that a Lyapunov function defined as
\[
V(k) = \hat{g}(k) \mathbf{P} \hat{g}(k) \quad (18)
\]
with \( \mathbf{P} \) a symmetric positive definite matrix.
\[
V(k + 1) - V(k) = \hat{g}(k) \{[A_e - L C_e]^T \mathbf{P} [A_e - L C_e] - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{g}(k) < 0 \quad (19)
\]

If there exist a symmetric positive definite matrix \( \mathbf{P} \) in (19), the \( [A_e - L C_e] \) is stable and it means the Lyapunov function of (18) is converged to zero with monotonically decreasing. The equation (19) is ensured if
\[
P > 0, [A_e - L C_e]^T \mathbf{P} [A_e - L C_e] - \mathbf{P} < 0 \quad (20)
\]
holds. Thus, if there exists the symmetric positive definite matrix \( \mathbf{P} \) satisfying (20), the \( \mathbf{L} \) is the gain matrix. To guarantee having stability in the sense of Lyapunov:
\[
\mathbf{P}_o - [\mathbf{P} A_e - PLC_e]^{T} \mathbf{P}^{-1} [\mathbf{P} A_e - PLC_e] > 0 \quad (21)
\]
where, \( \mathbf{P}_o > 0 \) and \( \mathbf{P} > \mathbf{P}_o \).
The inequality (21) can be expressed as a linear matrix inequality (LMI) by applying Schur complement lemma [8]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_o \\
[P_A e - Y C_e]
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
P_A e - Y C_e^T
\end{bmatrix} > 0
\]

(22)

Where, \( Y := P L \).

4. Controller Design

This section presents the design of MPC scheme with full state observer and disturbance observer to regulate the voltage within limits in EV Charging Station. The steady state condition of \( x_0 \) satisfy the following:

\[
x_0 = A x_0(k) + B u_0(k) + B_0 d(k) + B_g V_g(k)
\]

(23)

where the \( u_0 \) is steady state input. and the disturbance observer error \( d(k) \) will be converge to zero, equation (23) can be represent using the disturbance observer \( \hat{d}(k) \) as:

\[
x_0 = A x_0(k) + B u_0(k) + B_0 \hat{d}(k) + B_g V_g(k)
\]

(24)

The steady state \( x_0 \) can be derived using the reference grid voltage \( V_{\text{ref}} \).

\[
x_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
l_i o \\
V_{\text{ref}} \\
l_i \phi
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
l_i o \\
V_{\text{ref}} \\
l_i \phi
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} \\
B_{21} \\
B_{31}
\end{bmatrix} V_{\text{io}} + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{12} \\
B_{22} \\
B_{32}
\end{bmatrix} \hat{d}_q + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{13} \\
B_{23} \\
B_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \hat{d}_g
\]

(25)

Where \( l_i \phi \) and \( l_i \phi \) are the steady state output current of inverter and sum of total current of EV Charging Station. And \( V_{\text{io}} \) is the control input of \( u_0 \) to inverter in steady state condition.

In order to determine the steady state values of \( l_i \phi \), and the control input \( V_{\text{io}} \), the state space model of \( x_0 \) in (25) will be rearranged:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
l_i o \\
l_i \phi \\
V_{\text{ref}}
\end{bmatrix} = G \begin{bmatrix}
A_{12} - l_2 \\
A_{22} - l_2 \\
A_{32}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
l_i o \\
l_i \phi \\
V_{\text{ref}}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{12} \\
B_{22} \\
B_{32}
\end{bmatrix} \hat{d}_q + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{13} \\
B_{23} \\
B_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \hat{d}_g
\]

(26)

where

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
l_2 x_2 - A_{11} & -A_{13} & -B_{11} \\
-A_{22} & -A_{23} & -B_{22} \\
-A_{33} & l_2 x_2 - A_{33} & -B_{33}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\]

The one-step ahead prediction state error \( \hat{e}(k+1) \) to steady state can be defined as:

\[
\hat{e}(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1) - x_0(k) = A \hat{x}(k) + B u(k) + L_1 (y - C \hat{x}) - A x_0(k) - B u_0(k)
\]

(27)

A cost index is defined in (28) to minimize the estimated state error against steady state \( x_0 \) and penalize the control input to steady state input \( u_0 \). Therefore, it is optimization problem as presented in (29).

\[
j(\hat{x}(k), u(k)) := ||\hat{e}(k+1)||_P + ||u(k) - u_0(k)||_R
\]

(28)

min \( j(\hat{x}(k), u(k)) \)

(29)

where, \( P \) is error state cost weight matrix and \( R \) is error input weight matrix.

Then, the cost index is derived as followed.

\[
j(\hat{x}(k), u(k)) = ||w(k)||_P + ||Bu(k)||_P + 2u^T(k)B^T P w(k) + \|u(k) - u_0(k)\|_R
\]

(30)

\[
= w^T(k) P w(k) + u^T(k) (B^T P B + R) u(k)
\]

where \( w(k) := A \hat{x}(k) + L_1 (y(k) - C \hat{x}(k)) - A x_0(k) - B u_0(k) \).

Therefore, the proposed MPC scheme with disturbance observer is configured as shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Block diagram of proposed control scheme](image-url)
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The cost index has a convex form and the input \( u \) can be determined by the following equation [9].

\[
\frac{\partial j}{\partial u}(k) = 0, \quad \forall k
\]

(31)

\[
u(k) = -(B^T P B + R)^{-1} \left( B^T P w(k) - R u_0(k) \right)
\]

(32)

\[
= M \hat{e}(k) - (B^T P B + MR) u_0(k)
\]

where, \( M := -(B^T P B + R)^{-1} \).

Here, the weight matrices \( P \) and \( Q \) should be satisfying the stability of the system as control input in (27). Let assume that the \( P \) and \( R \) are satisfied as follows:

\[
B^T P B = \alpha I_2
\]

(33)

\[
R = \beta I_2
\]

(34)

The above equation (32) can be express as:

\[
\Delta u(k) = M \hat{e}(k) + M B^T P L_1 (y(k) - C \hat{x}(k))
\]

(35)

where, \( \Delta u(k) := u(k) - u_0(k) \) and \( M := -(\alpha + \beta)^{-1} I_2 \) which is redefined using assumption (28) and (29), respectively.
In the case of state observer $\hat{x}(k)$ follows state $x(k)$ while satisfying equation (36), the above equation can be represented as:

$$|x(k) - \hat{x}(k)| \approx 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

$$\Delta u(k) = K\hat{e}(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where, $K := MB^TPA$.

The cost function defined in (28) should be monotonically decreasing to satisfy Lyapunov stability as:

$$\Delta u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Delta u(k) = K\hat{e}(k)$$

where, $K$ is design parameter.

The equation (39) is ensured if

$$P - (A + BK)^TP(A + BK) - K^TRK > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

holds, $K$ is a stabilizing gain matrix if there exists a positive definite matrix $P$ which is satisfying (40). By multiplying $Q$ ($:= P^{-1}$) on the left- and right-hand sides of (40) and applying Schur complement lemma [8], we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q - Y^TRY & [AQ + BY]^T \\ [AQ + BY] & Q \end{bmatrix} > 0, Q > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where, $Y := KQ$.

Since $R$ is positive definite, it can be assumed that

$$\alpha Q = Q_o \hspace{1cm} (0 < \alpha < 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where, $\alpha$ is design parameter.

Finally, LMI problem presented in Equation (43) can be represented as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_o & [AQ + BY]^T \\ [AQ + BY] & Q \end{bmatrix} > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

5. Simulation Result

In this section, the simulation result is presented to compare the performance of proposed algorithm against conventional PI controller using MATLAB/SIMULINK. And the EV Charging Station used for the simulation consists of EV Charger, PV, ESS, and the detailed parameters are shown in Table 1 below.

![Fig. 4. Comparison data of simulation result in dq-axis grid voltage with proposed MPC and PI.](Image)

(a) grid voltage in d-axis. (b) grid voltage in q-axis.

The transient response of the both controllers is represented in ABC three phase system. In Fig. 5. (a), the black line indicates three phase voltage in case of no load condition and red line means the voltage which shows EV charging load injected grid with PI controller. Under the same conditions as above, (b) shows the result of the voltage to which MPC was applied. Obviously, this simulation results presents that the voltage of EV Charging Station with proposed MPC algorithm is stabilized quickly.

### Table 1

**SYSTEM PARAMETERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_g^{abc}$</td>
<td>Grid voltage (Phase-Neutral)</td>
<td>220V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$f_g$$ Grid frequency 60Hz

$V_{dc}$ DC-link voltage (Nominal) 600V

$L_{abc}^{in}$ Inverter side inductance 10mH

$C_{abc}$ Filter capacitance 0.1uF

$T$ Switching time 100us

$R_g, L_g$ Line impedance R, L 0.35 ohm 0.1 mH
6. Conclusion

This study proposed a model predictive control to stabilize the grid voltage in EV Charging Station within limits while the EV charging loads are activated. This control scheme is based on regulating the amount of total current, which is estimated by the disturbance observer. A Lyapunov stability was used to determine the estimation gain matrix by means of LMI method. The improvement of proposed algorithm compared to conventional algorithm has been demonstrated through the simulation in MATLAB/SIMULINK. The proposed control scheme provides stable operation of EV Charging Station without an additional device to measure total current. The simulation shows that the proposed scheme provides the stable voltage under EV Charging condition and is able to adapt to practical applications.
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